# Interlaboratory comparison test on soil improver quality

Liisa Maunuksela<sup>1</sup>, Katarina Björklöf<sup>2</sup>, Mirja Kartio<sup>1</sup> and Mirja Leivuori<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Finnish Food Authority, Mustialankatu 3, 00790 Helsinki, <sup>2</sup>Finnish Environment Institute SYKE/Reference Laboratory, Ultramariinikuja 4, 00430 Helsinki

#### Introduction and objectives

#### **Table 1.** Measurands reported by participant laboratories.

Standard

The recycling of nutrients and organic material helps create a closed nutrient cycle and reduces the need for additional nutrients from non-renewable sources. To increase the market potential for bio-based fertilizer products it is necessary to improve and monitor their quality. Soil improver quality is commonly determined using a test battery of chemical and biological analysis.

#### Materials and methods

An interlaboratory comparison test was organized to determine the composition, phytotoxicity, stability and maturity of two green waste and sewage sludge soil improver samples (Figure 1). The performed analyses were:

- Germination and root growth of cress
- Nitrate-N/-ammonia-N ratio
- Self-heating
- CO2-production

In addition, chemical parameters (dry weight, pH, electrical conductivity, bulk density and organic matter content) were measured (Table 1 and Figure 2). In total 11 participants took part, of which eight were from Finland. The interlaboratory comparison test was carried out in accordance with international guidelines.

#### **Results and discussions**

The performance of the participants was evaluated by using z scores. Altogether 50% of the participants used accredited analytical methods for at least some of the measurands and 100% of their results were satisfactory. In this proficiency test, 96% of the results were satisfactory when deviation of 1 pH units and 25–80% (for other determinations) from the assigned value were accepted. Maturity assessment of these samples, according to criteria from Finnish legislation and results from laboratories that performed at least three of these maturity tests, showed that both samples were mature and stable (Table 2).

Measurand/analysis	Standard
Average germination ratio (petri dish test using cress,)	EN 16086-2
Bulk density	EN 13040
CO <sub>2</sub> -production/bottle (closed bottle test)	Itävaara et. al 2006
CO <sub>2</sub> -production rate (closed bottle test)	Itävaara et. al 2006
Electrical conductivity	EN 13038
Dry matter content	EN 13040
N-NH <sub>4</sub>	EN 13652, annex B
N-NO <sub>3</sub>	EN 13652, annex B
N-NO <sub>3</sub> /N-NH <sub>4</sub> -ratio	Itävaara et. al 2006
Organic matter content	EN 13039
pH	EN 13037
Plant root index (petri dish test using cress,	EN 16086-2
Plant root length (petri dish test using cress, EN 16086-2)	EN 16086-2
Self-heating test, Rottegrad test	EN 16087-2

able 2. Maturity assess	sment of analyzed s	samples based on m	ean values of participant	s' (n=6) results.

Sample	CO <sub>2</sub> -production (<3 mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C/gVS/d)*	MLV (> 80%)*	Self-heating (20-40oC)**	NO <sub>3</sub> -N/NH <sub>4</sub> -N (>1)**
S1	YES	YES (71%)		
	YES	YES (83%)		
S2	YES	YES (29%)	YES	YES

\*according to [3] \*\*according to [1]





Figure 1. From left to right: 1) Field sampling from sewage sludge soil improver pile, 2) sieving sample through 20 mm mesh sieve, 3) homogenizing and dispensing samples into plastic bags / Photos: Liisa Maunuksela, Finnish Food Authority



Figure 2. Laboratory analysis of soil improver samples. From left to right: 1) Closed bottle test for measurement, 3) determining sample bulk density and 4) plant root length and index / Photos: Leena Kaarla, Päivi Mäki ja Salla Pelkonen, Finnish Food Authority

### Conclusions

According to our results many participants had good practices and manage these analyses well. However, more detailed guidance on procedures that may affect the results is still needed. Further harmonization is recommended e.g. by training courses and updating existing method description in order to standardize procedures.

#### References

1. Itävaara, M., Vikman, M., Kapanen, A., Venelampi, O and Vuorinen, A., 2006. Kompostin kypsyystestit. Menetelmäohjeet. VTT tiedotteita 2351. https://www.vtt.fi/inf/pdf/tiedotteet/2006/T2351.pdf

2. Maunuksela, L., Björklöf, K., Kaarla, K., Kartio, M. and Leivuori, M. (2013). Proficiency Test on soil improver maturity tests, SYKEra 17/2013. https://helda.helsinki.fi/handle/10138/39298

3. Finnish Act on Fertilizer Products 24/11, (2011). http://www.finlex.fi/data/normit/37638/11024fi.pdf



## FINNISH FOOD AUTHORITY Ruokavirasto • Livsmedelsverket

